

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/683,376	12/19/2001	Robert W. Droege	24-NS-6049	7708
23465	7590 02/04/2004		EXAMINER	
JOHN S. BEULICK			KEITH, JACK W	
	RONG TEASDALE, LLP OPOLITAN SQUARE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SUITE 2600			3641	
ST LOUIS, MO 63102-2740			DATE MAILED: 02/04/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

_	\/
_	7
-	~

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
3	09/683,376	DROEGE, ROBERT W.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jack W. Keith	3641				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 N</u>	<u>ovember 2003</u> .					
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☐ This	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.					
,—	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1,3,5,6 and 26-30 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1,3, 5,6,and 26-30 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9)☑ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the Eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	(PTO-413) te atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/683,376

Art Unit: 3641

## **DETAILED ACTION**

# Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 11/26/2003 have been fully considered.

The 112, second paragraph rejection of Paper no.16 are withdrawn.

The 102 (b) rejections of Paper no. 16 are herein incorporated by reference.

Applicant argues the 102 (b) rejection of the admitted prior art citing that the prior art does not disclose a system of operating in a first normal mode and switching to a second normal mode without first going to standby mode.

Applicant within the specification (see page 6, paragraph 23) sets forth his definition of mode. "Mode describes a predetermined system configuration of such typical system components, including, but not limited to, valves, dampers, motors, and pumps." It is of particular note [emphasis added] that applicant does not set forth any clarification of what plant condition his definition of mode is referring to. That is as set forth applicant's disclosed mode reads on startup, normal, emergency, shutdown, maintenance modes, etc. Applicant does set forth his definition of standby mode "a safe alignment, a term in the art wherein no power is being generated by the reactor and wherein standby mode refers to an alignment of any system to be dispatched to an operational mode". Applicant within the specification has not defined his mode of operation to exclude modes other than normal. Accordingly, based on the specification definition of "mode" startup, emergency, shutdown, maintenance modes, etc. all read on the term "mode". While applicant may be his or her own lexicographer, a term in a claim may not be given a meaning repugnant to the usual meaning of that term. See In

Art Unit: 3641

re Hill, 161 F.2d 367, 73 USPQ 482 (CCPA 1947). Thus based on the prior art plant operational modes read on anyone of startup, emergency, shutdown, maintenance and include normal. While applicant's attempt to define his claims around the prior art does do so if in fact his definition of "mode" meant only normal operations; however, the amendment is clearly new matter and the specification does not support such an amendment.

Applicant further argues the amendment to the claims "automatically switching" from a first normal mode to a second normal mode defines over the 102(b) rejection of Hench. Hench manually switching modes. As noted above the term "normal mode" is unsupported in the specification. Additionally within the rejection of Paper no. 16 it was noted that the system of Hench further set forth a fail safe logic wherein the mode of operation is automatically changed on the display screen for the operator without going to a standby mode in the event of a selected plant variable out of limit.

The 102(b) rejections of Paper no. 16 are herein incorporated by reference.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 3. Claims 1, 3, 5, 6, and 26-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably

Art Unit: 3641

convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

There is no support in specification for the term "normal" in association with the first or second modes of operation. Applicant should delete the amendment or file a CIP to gain support for said term.

- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claims 1, 3, 5, 6, and 26-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 6. The term "normal" is a relative term which renders the claim indefinite. The term "normal" is not defined by the claim, the specification does not provide a standard for ascertaining the requisite degree, and one of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably appraised of the scope of the invention. Thus the meets and bounds of the claimed mode of operation are vague and indefinite.

#### Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jack W. Keith whose telephone number is (703) 306-5752. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 6:30-4 p.m., with First Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Carone can be reached on (703) 306-4198. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jack W. Keith Examiner Art Unit 3641

jwk February 2, 2004